IN THE UN	ITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR	THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
	CENTRAL DIVISION
In re:)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
Plaintiff,)
VS.)) Case No.) 2:20-MJ-00415
LATROI DEVON NEWBINS,)
Defendant.)))

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DUSTIN PEAD

June 9, 2020

Initial Appearance/Detention Hearing
Conducted by Zoom Videoconferencing

Appearances of Counsel:

For the Plaintiff: J. Drew Yeates

Bryan N. Reeves Michael J. Thorpe Attorneys at Law

U.S. Attorney's Office

111 S. Main Street

Suite 1800

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For the Defendant: Darwin L. Overson

Attorney at Law Overson Law PLLC 136 E. South Temple

Suite 1530

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Court Reporter:

Laura W. Robinson, RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP 351 South West Temple 8.430 U.S. Courthouse Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801)328-4800 Salt Lake City, Utah

June 9, 2020

* * * * *

00:01:00 5

00:01:15 10

00:01:29 15

00:01:44 20

THE COURT: Of course you're welcome to be here, I'm glad that you could join us. Just a couple of reminders. Even though the hearing is being conducted by Zoom, the rules of the Court still apply. So please, no recording, no photography. You're welcome to take whatever notes you would like, but please treat this as you would as if you were in court downtown.

Mr. Newbins, let me explain first why we're conducting the hearing by video and let me advise you of an important right. As you're, of course, aware, we're concerned about the pandemic that's going through the United States and we want to reduce the risk of exposure to you and to others. And for this reason, we have organized this hearing to go forward by Zoom. But it doesn't have to. It's ultimately your decision, Mr. Newbins. You have the right to demand that this hearing be held in person, or you can waive that right and proceed by way of Zoom here today.

Mr. Newbins, what's your preference?

THE DEFENDANT: I waive that right and we can resume right now.

1 THE COURT: Thank you so much, Mr. Newbins. 2 And as I mentioned, and I'll formally state it here 3 for the record, there are a number of people on this 4 call with us. Those of most critical import are your 00:03:00 5 attorney, Mr. Overson. There are three AUSAs on the 6 case, Mr. Reeves, Mr. Thorpe, and Mr. Yeates. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Okav. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Newbins, of course, you're 9 here because I have signed a complaint that alleges 00:03:12 10 that you have violated federal law. Before we talk 11 about the substance of the complaint, I would like to 12 advise you of some very important rights you have. 13 First, you have the right to remain silent. 14 Any statement you make regarding the allegations in 00:03:25 15 the complaint can be used against you. And my advice 16 to you is please don't make any statement until you 17 have had a chance to thoughtfully talk to an attorney. And that's the second important right, the 18 19 right to be represented in this proceeding. And you have retained Mr. Overson, is that correct? 00:03:39 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. 22 THE COURT: Of course, he's very welcome to 23 be here. 24 Mr. Newbins, as I mentioned, this is a 00:03:49 25 complaint, sort of the first step in a larger

1 process. I need to make sure that you have had an 2 adequate opportunity to review that complaint and 3 discuss the charges and the allegations with your 4 attorney Mr. Overson. Do you believe that you have 00:04:03 5 had enough time to review it? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Overson, do you 8 likewise believe you have had enough time to review 9 this with your client? 00:04:11 10 MR. OVERSON: I do. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Newbins, I need to make sure 12 that you understand the nature of the charges, and I 13 also need to make sure that you understand the 14 maximum possible penalty. 00:04:22 15 Mr. Yeates, one of the prosecutors on the 16 case, is going to be describing that maximum possible 17 penalty as well as the minimum mandatory. Mr. Yeates? 18 19 MR. YEATES: Your Honor, the maximum penalty 00:04:33 20 pursuant to 844(i) is a term of imprisonment of 2.1 20 years, a fine of \$250,000, and it also carries, 22 Your Honor, a minimum mandatory of 60 months in 23 prison. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 00:04:47 25 Mr. Newbins, did you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Thank you. As I mentioned, this is an appearance on the complaint and it's the first step in a larger process. Where we go from here depends on whether the Government intends to present the case for an indictment or proposed indictment before a separate group of citizens called the grand jury. And if they intend to present that, on what date they might try to do so. If it cannot be presented for an indictment, then we have to address something else.

Mr. Yeates, can you address the questions on whether you intend to present it for indictment?

MR. YEATES: Your Honor, it is our sincere hope that we present the case for consideration of the grand jury. However, pursuant to the Court's General Order, grand juries are currently not meeting to consider possible federal violations and to return true bills. Accordingly, we will be prepared for a preliminary hearing, should it come to that point. Our obvious preference, though, Your Honor, would be to take that before the grand jury, and we would hope that the Court is making progress in accommodating that.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yeates.

00:05:56 25

00:05:15 10

00:05:26 15

00:05:43 20

00:04:59

1 So Mr. Newbins, what this means is I need to 2 set the case for one of two sort of paths. The first 3 one is it could be an initial appearance on an 4 indictment if an indictment is returned. There is a 00:06:09 5 practical concern about whether a grand jury can even 6 be convened due to the pandemic. 7 The other path could be a preliminary 8 That's what Mr. Yeates referred to. At 9 that hearing, I would be making a determination on 00:06:23 10 whether there is probable cause to hold you on the 11 charge for further proceedings. 12 Now, the dates for which these must be held 13 depend very much on whether you're in custody. The rules prescribe a 14-day limit, absent consent from 14 00:06:40 15 you, or good cause found by me. Ms. Sparrow, I would like to find a date within 14 days for either a 16 17 preliminary hearing or an initial appearance on an indictment. What do we have available from Weber? 18 THE CLERK: I'm sorry. We have -- it's a 19 00:07:19 20 little tricky -- I'm sorry. Right now --2.1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 THE CLERK: -- the only thing would be on 23 the morning of the 18th. Is that too soon? 24 THE COURT: The morning of the 18th. 00:07:33 25 THE CLERK: Yeah.

```
1
                      THE COURT: Mr. Overson, would that work for
      2
            you?
                      MR. OVERSON: Um, I'll make that work. Yes.
      3
      4
                      THE COURT: One of the challenges here is if
            the grand jury meets on the 17th, could it be turned
00:07:43 5
      6
            around if an indictment is returned by the 18th. But
      7
            my proposal is that we stick with that date and then
      8
            we adjust if we need to. Would that be okay if we
      9
            called you?
00:07:57 10
                      Mr. Overson, would that be okay with you?
     11
                      MR. OVERSON: Yes, it would.
     12
                      MR. YEATES: Yes, it would.
     13
                      THE COURT: Ms. Sparrow, I'm sorry, what
     14
            time was that?
00:08:04 15
                      THE CLERK: We can do that at 10:00 a.m.
                      THE COURT: 10:00 a.m. on the 18th.
     16
     17
                      THE CLERK: Yes. And it will be telephonic.
     18
                      THE COURT: Telephonic. Mr. Overson, would
     19
            you prefer something different? A preliminary
            hearing on video.
00:08:17 20
     2.1
                      MR. OVERSON: Um, yes, video, please. There
     22
            is video and photographic evidence.
                      THE COURT: Got it. So Teri, let's find our
     23
     24
            next date that we could do it for Zoom.
00:08:31 25
                      THE CLERK: Judge, I have to verify with the
```

```
1
            jail that any time slots that they offered are still
      2
            available. Um...
      3
                      THE COURT: Do we have anything that we
      4
            could set tentatively for now and then later confirm
            it?
00:08:51 5
      6
                      THE CLERK: We don't because the schedule
      7
            they gave me only goes through the 19th. So I don't
      8
            have availability at all for that. So, um...
      9
                      THE COURT: Nothing after the -- I'm sorry,
00:08:59 10
            nothing after the 19th then?
     11
                      THE CLERK: Right.
     12
                      THE COURT: Mr. Overson here is what I would
     13
            like to propose. Could we come in on the 18th at
     14
            10:00 anyway and then --
00:09:11 15
                      MR. OVERSON: If I might interrupt, I
            apologize. Let's set it for the telephonic. That's
     16
     17
            fine, we'll submit our exhibits ahead of time and I
            would just ask that the Government do the same. If
     18
     19
            we can properly mark them, I think all of the parties
            can orient themselves so that we know what we're
00:09:25 20
     2.1
            looking at.
     22
                      THE COURT: Sure. Let's do this. Exhibits
     23
            marked and provided to opposing counsel by -- can I
     24
            say noon on the 16th?
00:09:35 25
                      MR. OVERSON: Yes.
```

```
1
                       THE COURT: Great. And then Mr. Overson,
      2
            what I will -- what I would like to propose is we
      3
            will continue to communicate with Weber and if we can
      4
            find a date that for which video could go forward, we
            might reach out to you all and suggest something
00:09:48
      5
      6
            alternatively if that's okay.
      7
                       THE CLERK:
                                  Judge?
      8
                       THE COURT: Yes.
      9
                       THE CLERK: Um, I'm sorry. I just realized
00:09:58 10
            that we could do it on the 17th by video at
            11:00 a.m.
     11
     12
                       THE COURT: The only challenge is that's the
     13
            day of the grand jury return.
     14
                      THE CLERK: I know.
00:10:10 15
                       THE COURT: Mr. Overson, should we plan on
            the 17th and by then we'll know whether the grand
     16
     17
            jury can even meet.
     18
                      MR. OVERSON: Yeah, let's do that. Let's --
     19
                       THE COURT: What about that, if we move it
            to the 17th. I think that will be better.
00:10:20 20
     2.1
                      MR. YEATES: We'll accommodate that, Your
     22
            Honor.
     23
                       THE COURT: And if we need to, because the
     24
            grand jury is meeting, we may reach out to you and
00:10:28 25
            ask to go with a hearing at a later date by
```

1 telephone, if that is the best way. Teri, what time 2 was that on the 17th? 3 THE CLERK: That would be 11:00 a.m. 4 THE COURT: 11:00 a.m. Okay I'll ask the parties to share and mark exhibits by 3:00 p.m. on 00:11:05 5 6 the 15th. Please provide me those by that date and 7 time, and then we'll be prepared in the event it is a 8 preliminary hearing. 9 Mr. Newbins, the final issue I have to 00:11:24 10 address is custody, whether you should remain in 11 custody pending the resolution of the case. There is 12 a number of ways to do this. I'm happy to try to 13 proceed today, if you would like, or the other option 14 is to hold it over for another date for your attorney 00:11:38 15 to collect information or evidence and argument. Mr. Overson, have you had a chance to review 16 17 the Government's motion for detention? MR. OVERSON: I have, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: What's your preference? Would 00:11:48 20 you like to proceed today? 2.1 MR. OVERSON: I would like to proceed today, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Terrific. 24 Mr. Yeates, have you been able to share any 00:11:56 25 of the exhibits or items you would like to present in

1 support of your request with Mr. Overson? 2 MR. YEATES: Your Honor, I sent an e-mail 3 that had a couple of photographs. Also, Mr. Overson 4 has seen the photographs that were attached to the complaint itself as well as to the United States' 00:12:11 5 6 motion for detention. 7 However, it is the United States' hope today 8 to play a video that was put together last night by 9 law enforcement. It has several different clips 00:12:26 10 related to the Defendant in this case and I have not 11 had an opportunity to turn that over to Mr. Overson 12 so he has not seen that particular video. 13 I would indicate that the video has snippets 14 from a variety of different sources including 00:12:41 15 individuals at the protest that turned to a riot, and that it shows a variety of different angles of the 16 17 Defendant's engagement in the arson of the patrol 18 car. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Overson, what's your 00:12:55 20 preference here? Do you want to move forward and 2.1 adjust as you see it or do you want some time to 22 review it? 23 MR. OVERSON: Um, you indicated that there 24 was technology here through this Zoom system that I

could speak with Mr. Newbins.

00:13:09 25

```
1
                      THE COURT: Sure. It's called a brake-out
      2
            room. I can send you in there for a few minutes if
      3
            you would like.
      4
                      MR. OVERSON: Okay, let's do that.
                      THE COURT: Okay. How much time would you
00:13:18
     5
      6
            like? Is 5 to 10 minutes okay?
      7
                      MR. OVERSON: Five minutes is probably
      8
            sufficient.
      9
                      THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Sparrow, let's send a
00:13:26 10
            brake-out room to Mr. Newbins and Mr. Overson.
     11
            Mr. Newbins in front of you a screen is going to pop
     12
            up that says "accept to join this brake-out room".
     13
            If you or someone else in that room can click it, you
     14
            and Mr. Overson will be put over there and you will
00:13:38 15
            come back automatically in five minutes, okay?
     16
                      THE DEFENDANT: I would just have to knock
     17
            on the door to get someone to come in and do it
            really fast.
     18
     19
                      THE COURT: I would appreciate that.
00:13:47 20
            you.
     21
                      THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.
     22
            Excuse me. Real quick. I need your help real fast.
     23
            They want to send us to a brake-out room real fast.
     24
                      THE COURT: Thank you for helping us. I'm
00:14:04 25
            going to send him and his attorney to a separate
```

```
1
            brake-out room. We're going to send a notice to the
      2
            screen right now to join that room. If you could hit
      3
            that when it pops up.
      4
                      JAIL STAFF: Okay. I got her. Whoops,
00:14:16 5
            where did it go.
      6
                      THE COURT: Still there?
      7
                      JAIL STAFF: I was. I don't know what
      8
            happened. Hold on.
      9
                      THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Overson, did you see
00:14:35 10
            it?
                      MR. OVERSON: I don't know where the
     11
     12
            little -- I went over to get the pop up --
     13
                      THE COURT: Okay.
     14
                      JAIL STAFF: And it wasn't there no more.
00:14:47 15
                      THE COURT: All right.
     16
                      JAIL STAFF: There we are, now I got it.
     17
                      THE COURT: Great. Thank you. All right it
            looks like they have joined that room. Everybody
     18
     19
            stand by for five minutes and we'll go from there.
                      (Brief pause in proceedings.)
00:15:38 20
     2.1
                      THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. The one
     22
            challenge with the brake-out rooms is that it
     23
            automatically returns you on mute. I'm going to see
     24
            if we can't unmute you, Mr. Newbins, from here. I
00:20:48 25
            don't see the lock. Sorry, Mr. Newbins. Would you
```

1 be kind enough to ask someone else if they can help 2 things? There we go, perfect. Terrific. 3 Mr. Overson, have you had enough time? 4 MR. OVERSON: I have, Your Honor. 00:21:02 5 attempted to send Ms. Sparrow as well as Mr. Yeates a 6 small single exhibit. It is a photo of a text 7 message from Mr. Newbins's phone. Unfortunately, it 8 is in a weird format so Mr. Yeates has not seen that 9 but I'll describe it for the Court. If anybody has 00:21:26 10 any objections, just let me know. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Let me first start with the basis for detention altogether. Mr. Overson, in 12 13 the Government's motion they cite 3142(f)(1), crime of violence, and 3142(f)(2), serious risk that the 14 00:21:46 15 Defendant will flee. 16 Do you have any argument you want to make 17 regarding those, whether a detention hearing is even authorized under the statute? 18 19 MR. OVERSON: Well, Your Honor, I mean I can talk to Mr. Newbins's risk of fleeing. I have never 00:21:59 20 2.1 had a problem with that. I have represented 22 Mr. Newbins in other matters and I have met with him 23 repeatedly on a civil matter that I have represented 24 him on over the years and he has always been prompt, 00:22:16 25 he has always answered my calls, he has always

answered messages. I see in the detention report,
the Pretrial Service Report, that, you know, that
there were warrants in the past. There was one
warrant while I represented him and that was the
result of a scheduling error by the Court itself and
we resolved that immediately. He has, you know, he
has lived here a long time. I really don't think
that he is at risk of fleeing.

THE COURT: I appreciate that Thank you

THE COURT: I appreciate that. Thank you for sharing it.

Um, in terms of the authority to even hold the detention hearing we have to cross the threshold. The Government has argued competing -- well not competing but complimentary arguments. One is that a detention hearing is warranted because interstate arson is a crime of violence and therefore a detention hearing can be held.

The second argument was that serious risk of flight. Here is what I would like to propose. In light of your argument here, maybe we set that serious risk of flight authority to hold the detention hearing off to the side and simply address whether the detention hearing is authorized because the alleged offense is a crime of violence. Do you have reason to dispute that claim?

2.1

00:22:47 10

00:23:01 15

00:23:14 20

00:22:33

1 MR. OVERSON: Well, the government has 2 alleged that Mr. Newbins set fire to a police 3 officer's car after it had been turned over. And --4 THE COURT: Right. 00:23:44 5 MR. OVERSON: So --6 THE COURT: So it is a legal question about 7 whether the charge that he has been faced with is a 8 crime of violence as defined by federal law for which 9 a maximum term of imprisonment is 10 years or more. 00:23:57 10 I'm not sure if you're contesting that it is -- it is or is not or it isn't a crime of violence. 11 12 MR. OVERSON: No. As a matter of law, the 13 arson would be a crime of violence. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. So with that in 00:24:09 15 mind, I find that the authority to hold the detention hearing is triggered and the Government has the 16 17 burden of proof and persuasion here. They have to persuade me to one of two things, Mr. Newbins. 18 19 you either propose a risk of flight by a 00:24:25 20 preponderance of the evidence, or a danger to the 2.1 community by clear and convincing evidence. 22 THE DEFENDANT: Not at all. 23 THE COURT: It's their job to persuade me of 24 that so I'm going to let them start first. 00:24:37 25 Afterwards, I'm going to turn to your

1 attorney, and then if there is anything you want to 2 say, I would be happy to hear it, of course. THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you. 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Yeates, let's start with 00:24:46 5 you. MR. YEATES: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 important here to start with an understanding that 8 there is an actual presumption of detention in this 9 case because of the charge that is filed. And let me 00:25:00 10 go through that with Your Honor. Um, also for the sake of clarification, Your 11 Honor, I would indicate that the statutory basis for 12 13 the detention hearing is really twofold. One, this 14 qualifies as a crime of violence under 3156(a)(4). 00:25:18 15 But also, Your Honor, it is a crime that falls under the definitions section located in Section 16 17 2332b(g)(5)(B). And both of those, Your Honor, are statutes that are referenced in the detention statute 18 19 located at 3142(f)(1). 00:25:43 20 Now, it's that same statute that I just 2.1 mentioned, Your Honor, the 2332b subparagraph G, 22 subparagraph 5, subparagraph big B, that causes the 23 presumption of detention to apply in this case. 24 And I want to have a mea culpa, Your Honor, 00:26:05 25 because I failed to mark that box on my motion and I

should have. And so if the Court does have my motion before it, I would indicate that in the section on rebuttable presumption, I should have marked that box and that subsequent to that I should have marked the box related to subsection C, an offense listed under Section 2332b(g)(5) big B.

Now, with that understanding, Your Honor, that indeed a presumption of detention does apply, we then ought to turn to the factors. And I would argue, Your Honor, that the factors in this case strongly suggest that detention is needed both because Mr. Newbins presents an unmanageable danger to the community, and also because he presents an unmanageable risk of nonappearance.

And I would like to start with the nature and circumstances of the offense. As Your Honor is well aware, having read the complaint, Mr. Newbins was involved in a protest that turned into a riot where a Salt Lake police patrol car was overturned and subsequently was burned. And the flames were large and it was a rather scary situation.

That arson involved more individuals than just Mr. Newbins. The Court should be aware that there is one other defendant that is similarly charged and we anticipate bringing charges against as

many as three additional individuals as we are attempting to identify them who were involved in the arson of the patrol car.

In order to give the Court a better understanding of both the nature and circumstance of the offense, but also the amount of evidence in this case, I think it would be helpful at this time to play a video. And it is about a minute 20 seconds, Your Honor, and it is a -- it has various clips involving Mr. Newbins's role in the arson. And my colleague, Mr. Reeves, has that video available on his I-Pad. And so I would ask the Court to allow him to present his desktop and to play that video for all that are on the call and at the hearing.

THE COURT: Mr. Overson, I know you haven't had a chance to see this. Do you object?

MR. OVERSON: That was the purpose of our discussion off the record with Mr. Newbins and myself, and we have determined that we would like to see the video today presented.

THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Reeves, we have shared the screen with you or you have the ability to share your screen, I should say. Go ahead.

MR. REEVES: Thank you. As I play, please confirm that the audio is also --

2.1

00:28:03 10

00:28:25 15

00:28:36 20

00:27:46

```
1
                       THE COURT: For the record, I'm seeing
      2
            Mr. Reeves's screen. Pulled up the video clip.
      3
                      MR. REEVES: Is the video clip now
      4
            presented, Your Honor?
00:29:29
      5
                      THE COURT: Yes.
      6
                      MR. REEVES: Okay. I'll begin.
      7
                       (Whereupon, the video clip was played.)
      8
                      MR. REEVES: That is the conclusion of the
      9
            minute and 12 seconds.
00:30:26 10
                      THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reeves.
                      MR. YEATES: Your Honor, this is Mr. Yeates.
     11
     12
            Um, the video played a little bit choppy, but I think
     13
            the Court can see what we're dealing with here and
     14
            that is very high level video, very clear to show
00:30:44 15
            Mr. Newbins. Also clearly shows him throwing a
            banner onto a small fire.
     16
     17
                      THE COURT: Mr. Reeves, can you mute that?
            Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Yeates. Go ahead.
     18
     19
                      MR. YEATES: The reason I think that's
            important, Your Honor, is twofold. One, under the
00:31:09 20
     2.1
            nature and circumstance of the offense, but also on
     22
            the weight of the evidence. From a weight
     23
            perspective which is discussed in Section 3142(q)(2),
     24
            the weight is very heavy in this case. We have video
00:31:27 25
            evidence from multiple sources showing Mr. Newbins
```

00:32:04 10

00:32:24 15

00:32:39 20

00:32:57 25

00:31:46

participating in the arson and throwing what acted as kindling onto that fire in order to increase the size of the fire which eventually overtook the patrol car and burned it all the way to the rims. The tires actually burnt off, Your Honor.

Now also looking through the Pretrial

Services Report, I think it's important to note for sake of the Defendant's risk of nonappearance that he has significant contact outside of Utah. In fact, he has moved all over the country during his life. He has many siblings that live all over the country.

And I'll just mention some of those states where he has significant ties. California, Nevada, Colorado, Texas, New Mexico, Ohio, Washington, and Hawaii.

It's unusual for defendants in this district to have those type of contacts outside of the District of Utah. Looking at those contacts outside of the District of Utah, coupled together with his history of failures to appear, I think that he presents a non-manageable risk of nonappearance.

I understand the arguments of counsel related to nonappearance, specifically that there -- one of those nonappearance in state court was a mistake. But it is worth noting, Your Honor, that this Defendant, Mr. Newbins, has actually been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

00:33:30 10

00:33:46 15

00:34:06 20

00:34:19 25

00:33:12

convicted of failure to appear and that was related to a Midvale Justice Court case where he was charged with failure to appear and was convicted of failure to appear.

Let me turn for a moment, if I might, to Mr. Newbins criminal history. And I would note, Your Honor, it has gone back approximately 12 years. For 12 years he has had a number of run-ins with the law here in the State of Utah and it has varied. For instance, he has been charged with and convicted of disorderly conduct, possession of a controlled substance, criminal mischief, driving under the influence, failure to appear, false information to a law enforcement officer, interference with an arresting officer. And he has also, Your Honor, been charged with a rather, if I might say, heinous domestic violence situation where it is alleged that he used a cloth belt around a female victim to strangle her and where she couldn't breathe and nearly passed out.

Looking at this criminal history, Your

Honor, I believe there is two things to take from

that. Number one is that he has a long history of it

and it has been unceasing. It has been continual and

it has been frequent. But number two is that through

that criminal history he has had myriad failures to 1 2 comply. And those failures to comply and failures to 3 appear are indicative of someone who is a flight 4 risk, someone who is not a manageable risk of 00:34:37 5 nonappearance. 6 Your Honor, it also bears mention that I 7 have spoken with the Salt Lake City Police Department 8 who is the victim in this case and they have 9 indicated their recommendation that Mr. Newbins be 00:34:53 10 detained pending trial. As I look at the criminal 11 history score here, Your Honor, I see a Category 4. 12 And what I would suggest, Your Honor, is that is due 13 in part to the fact that Mr. Newbins is relatively 14 young. But based on his criminal behavior, he is 00:35:10 15 well on his way to having a Category 5. With that in mind, Your Honor, I would argue 16 17 and ask the Court to find that Mr. Newbins is both an 18 unmanageable risk of nonappearance, and an 19 unmanageable danger to the community and I would ask 00:35:30 20 the Court to detain him pending trial. 2.1 THE COURT: Mr. Yeates, could I ask you a 22 couple of questions, please? 23 MR. YEATES: Absolutely. 24 THE COURT: Your complaint cites the 00:35:41 25 discovery of Mr. Newbins from his participation in a

1 peaceful protest downtown on June 1st, right? 2 MR. YEATES: That's correct. 3 THE COURT: The complaint also cites an 4 article from the news that says, quote, "Defendant 00:36:00 5 negotiated a peaceful exit for the group without mass 6 arrests." 7 How do you balance the conduct, the alleged 8 conduct, from May 30th with what appears to be a key 9 de-escalating role on June 1st, just a couple of days 00:36:16 10 later? 11 MR. YEATES: Your Honor, the United States 12 does not prosecute individuals based upon their 13 character but based upon their behavior. And here, 14 while there may be some behavior that Mr. Newbins has 00:36:34 15 displayed that is praiseworthy, that, Your Honor, is -- pales in comparison, if I might, to the idea that 16 17 he worked in order to burn a law enforcement vehicle to the ground. 18 19 As the Court may have seen through the 00:36:49 20 video, albeit choppy, the protestors, turned rioters, 21 moved away from that vehicle for fear it was going to 22 explode. And certainly that was a possibility here. 23 When we're dealing with gas tanks and vehicles on 24 fire, explosions absolutely are possible. And so he 00:37:08 25 put himself in danger, he put other protestors and

rioters in danger. He also put other first responders in danger.

I might also mention, Your Honor, something that perhaps Mr. Overson will bring up as well, that there is video that shows Mr. Newbins prior to putting kindling on the fire, putting out another small fire in the patrol car. And so there was — there were small flames and there is video showing Mr. Newbins pouring perhaps two or three bottles of water to put that fire out.

And so what we do have is some inconsistencies. Why one moment would Mr. Newbins try to put the fire out using water, and then moments later attempt to increase the heat and the flame by putting kindling on it. And it's difficult to answer this, but perhaps, Your Honor, Mr. Newbins was overtaken by the emotion that was overtaking many individuals at the protest that turned this protest into a riot.

And so I do not contest that Mr. Newbins has done good things in his life. Nor do I contest that he peacefully resolved a later protest. But what I would argue, Your Honor, is that with a criminal history that dates back 12 years with consistent and involves acts of violence, including running from

2.1

00:39:11 10

00:39:27 15

00:41:04 20

00:41:22 25

00:38:55

police on foot, this is an individual that simply isn't a good choice for release in this particular case.

I don't mean to suggest that I want to step outside of my role, I certainly don't, it is your responsibility and weighty obligation to determine how and when to charge individuals. The only question I had was about dangerousness. If the belief is that Mr. Newbins was dangerous on May 30th, it seems like presented with similar circumstances he chose a different path on June 1st. Though I wondered if the conduct on June 1st suggests that perhaps he is not as dangerous as you might argue and that he may have been, as you said, caught up more in the bad moment of June 1st.

Is there anything else that you want to add on that contrast?

MR. YEATES: Going back to the criminal history, we see a history of violence, Your Honor. We see criminal mischief which is, of course, the damage of property. We see the interference with a law enforcement officer where the defendant, a passenger in a motor vehicle, fled on foot and then

once apprehended gave false personal identifying information. And then we see the domestic violence charge that was eventually dismissed because the victim was unaccessible or inaccessible. But the facts that were alleged in the charging document are grave indeed.

When we look at those facts and then we see the video that clearly shows Mr. Newbins throwing kindling onto the fire, this is an individual with a history of violence and that cannot be made up for by one good act at a protest the day after the arson.

THE COURT: Maybe you have already addressed this point but I didn't see anything that suggests that he started it. He, I guess, literally added fuel to the fire if I understand your allegations, but he didn't -- he wasn't the one that started it.

Am I wrong there?

MR. YEATES: You are correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you about his residence in Utah. As you correct -- I think you correctly state, the Pretrial Service Report reflects connections in many places. My understanding of the report though is that he has been in Utah for the past year or so at least. That's with his common law wife. I believe she is the one that maybe the

purported victim from the 2017 matter. He also has a sister that resides here. It seems to me that he didn't come in from out-of-state. Is that a fair reading of the report from your point of view? Has he been residing here for a while as far as you can tell?

MR. YEATES: Yes. Looking at his criminal history, rap sheet as we often call it, it does appear that Mr. Newbins has lived in Utah for quite some time and that his criminal history tends to indicate that he has been here in Utah at least since age 18.

THE COURT: So the risk of flight is probably more, if I understand your argument, about his failures to appear or comply than it is that he would flee the district. Have I put words in your mouth?

MR. YEATES: You have not put words in my mouth but I have not adequately argued our position. And that is, Your Honor, that Mr. Newbins now faced with a five-year minimum mandatory that is punishable by up to 20 years in prison, has a significant reason and incentive to flee. And the reason I think it is important to look at his many connections outside of the District of Utah is that those are areas where he

could seek refuge should he seek to flee.

And I would also argue, Your Honor, that based on his failures to appear in state court and in misdemeanor proceedings, those are with relatively low stakes. But this is a very high stakes case where if convicted, the district court judge will be required to impose no less than 60 months in prison. That is a significant incentive. And so his connections outside of the District of Utah should be of concern to the Court, they're certainly of concern to the United States.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yeates.

Mr. Overson, I want to turn to you and
Mr. Newbins now. But before I do this, um, I have
been following Mr. Yeates citations of the statutes
regarding the rebuttable presumption. As I have
looked at it, as he described it, I believe that he
is correct that there is a presumption that
Mr. Newbins should be detained. That triggers a
burden of production, but it does trigger something.
I know that you may be at a little bit of a
disadvantage because the motion didn't cite that they
believed that there was a presumption of detention
but frankly as I followed him walking through it, I
think it is triggered. Do you -- were you able to

1 follow that with us? 2 MR. OVERSON: Yes, Your Honor, I think it is 3 also triggered. I think he is correct. 4 THE COURT: Okay. MR. OVERSON: Um, and in terms of the burden 00:45:21 5 6 of production, I am -- I have, as I mentioned, a 7 photo of text messages that I can describe for the 8 Court, if there is not an objection from the 9 Government, or, you know, I would otherwise have to 00:45:44 10 convert the format. 11 THE COURT: Is it on your desktop, the same 12 location where you're appearing by Zoom? 13 MR. OVERSON: Yes. Yes. 14 THE COURT: This -- you had indicated that 00:45:54 15 this was your first time on Zoom, right? 16 MR. OVERSON: It is. 17 THE COURT: We have something called share screen. Do you see at the bottom of the Zoom 18 19 software in front of you, on the screen in front of 00:46:06 20 you on Zoom it says "share screen". 2.1 MR. OVERSON: Yes. 22 THE COURT: If you hit that, it should give 23 you all of the screens that are open and allow you to 24 pop-up the screen that you're referring to. It is --00:46:18 25 is the text message in a photo or something on your

```
1
            desktop right now?
      2
                      MR. OVERSON: Yes. I have taken a picture
      3
            of Mr. --
      4
                       THE COURT: Is it open and available on one
00:46:26 5
            of your screens?
      6
                      MR. OVERSON: It is.
      7
                      THE COURT: If you don't mind, why don't we
      8
            ask you to hit "share screen", it will pop-up all
      9
            your windows and maybe we can do it that way. Why
00:46:38 10
            don't we give it a shot.
     11
                      MR. OVERSON: Okay.
     12
                       THE COURT: We're putting you on the
     13
            technological hot seat here.
     14
                      MR. OVERSON: Bear with me. I tend to run a
00:46:53 15
            lot of windows open, sir.
     16
                       THE COURT: Yeah. That can be a challenge.
     17
            So you just have to find it. But it did open all of
            the windows, right?
     18
     19
                      MR. OVERSON: Yes, but I don't see that one.
00:47:07 20
                       THE COURT: If your -- the photo you want to
     21
            show us has to be open and in some application.
     22
                      MR. OVERSON: It is. It actually is, Your
     23
            Honor.
     24
                      THE COURT: Okay.
00:47:19 25
                      MR. OVERSON: There is -- under the advanced
```

```
1
            settings there is an option for, it looks like,
      2
            sharing a portion of screen.
      3
                      THE COURT: Okay.
      4
                      MR. OVERSON: I'm going to try that and see
00:47:32
      5
            if that works.
      6
                       THE COURT: Let's try this one more minute
      7
            and then if it doesn't work, I'll just have you
      8
            describe it.
      9
                      MR. OVERSON: Okay, that's not working.
00:47:41 10
                   Okay, there is some settings here that --
            Okay.
     11
            okay.
                   There we go.
     12
                       THE COURT: Great. Perfect.
     13
                      MR. OVERSON: Okay.
     14
                      THE COURT: Great.
00:48:24 15
                      MR. OVERSON: Do you see that?
                      THE COURT: Describe that. Sure.
     16
     17
                      MR. OVERSON: Yeah. So this is a text
            message link or a chain between Mr. Newbins.
     18
                                                            This is
     19
            a photo I have taken with my phone of Mr. Newbins's
00:48:38 20
            phone. The conversation, as you can see, is DJ
     2.1
            Shaquille his brother. So this is effectively his
     22
            common law wife's brother, so essentially his
     23
            brother-in-law. And the text message takes place
     24
            after the situation with the police car downtown. As
00:48:59 25
            is fairly obvious because the brother-in-law has sent
```

1 him a copy of the -- the YouTube from one of the 2 channel -- one of the news channels as indicated 3 early on in the photo. 4 And then the brother-in-law says, "You put 00:49:17 5 the fire out my menja" and he says, "Yeah, I had a 6 roll of wet paper that I threw into the fire, but it 7 was too late. It was already burning hell up." So 8 this is before -- this is before, you know, he knew 9 he was under investigation and, you know, he's just 00:49:40 10 describing this to his brother-in-law saying yeah, it's unfortunate I couldn't put it out. And that I 11 12 spoke to other witnesses -- how do I get back? 13 THE COURT: You would hit "end share screen" 14 at the top, it might show something, right at the top 00:49:55 15 of your desktop. There you go. Thank you, 16 Mr. Overson. 17 MR. OVERSON: Okay. And I appreciate everybody being patient with me on this. 18 19 THE COURT: No problem. MR. OVERSON: Okay. So I spoke with other 00:50:03 20 2.1 witnesses who have indicated they saw Mr. Newbins put 22 out the first fire and attempt to put out the second 23 fire. He didn't start the fire. They had several 24 bottles of water and they wetted -- he wetted down

this roll of paper and was trying to smother the fire

00:50:28 25

1 out but it was simply too hot. And I understand why 2 the Government thinks what they think, but as the 3 Court has pointed out, Mr. Newbins's behavior after 4 the fire, at subsequent peaceful protests, was commendable, just, you know, de-escalating a 00:50:52 5 6 situation that frankly could have been quite 7 dangerous for citizens and police officers on June 8 1st, being an individual seeking peace. And I 9 haven't had an opportunity to really delve deep in 00:51:13 10 his phone and I'm in the process -- I'll be turning 11 the phone over to the Government, probably later 12 today, it depends on when they get the warrant, but I 13 have perused a couple of the other text message chains and in it I see Mr. Newbins communicating with 14 00:51:34 15 other people who were there to demonstrate as they're 16 on their way or -- and his message to them is let's 17 keep this civil, you know, we don't want a bunch of shenanigans, we don't want to damage because that 18 19 takes away from the message. So that's the individual we're dealing with. 00:51:51 20 2.1 In terms of his risk of flight --22 THE COURT: Could I interrupt you there? 23 MR. OVERSON: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Obviously this is the first that I have heard that the proffer is that it was a bunch 00:52:02 25

1 of wet like wrapped up wet paper towels or banner or 2 something. 3 MR. OVERSON: Right. 4 THE COURT: Do you have any proffer that you can give me on where he got it, how it was wet, how 00:52:14 5 6 he drenched it, any of that sort of stuff? 7 MR. OVERSON: Well, I don't know where he 8 pulled the paper from, but in the vehicle, in his 9 vehicle, they had brought water because it was a hot 00:52:30 10 day. And that's the water that he used to douse the 11 paper in an effort to make it a dampening. 12 whether --13 THE COURT: How close was his car to where 14 the arson took place? 00:52:43 15 MR. OVERSON: That I don't know. 16 THE DEFENDANT: I was in the middle of the 17 intersection. THE COURT: So by Mr. Newbins? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was right in the middle of the intersection where I could plainly see 00:52:53 20 2.1 everything. In between both of the -- the tracks, 22 right in the middle of the road. 23 THE COURT: Got it. Thank you. So the 24 proffer is, if I understand it, Mr. Overson, that it 00:53:08 25 was water -- or a water soaked banner or something

1 with the intent to put a fire out consistent with an 2 earlier statement I think conceded by the government 3 that he had tried to put the fire out with water 4 bottles. Whether it was fruitless or not, I quess, is apart from the issue of whether he was trying to 00:53:25 5 6 add kindling or taking away the strength of the fire. 7 Is that your proffer? 8 MR. OVERSON: It is, Your Honor. He was 9 successful in putting out the first fire. The only 00:53:36 10 reason he wasn't successful this time is that the 11 fire had grown to such an extent that it was simply 12 too hot for him to be close enough to douse it. 13 THE COURT: One of the challenges that I 14 face in a detention hearing is determining the weight 00:53:50 15 of the evidence. Obviously, I have seen the picture, I've seen the audio -- or the video now but I 16 17 probably would need to go back and look at that and see if there is any indicia of whether it was dry or 18 19 wet. Did you see anything in the video? MR. OVERSON: You know, I don't think you 00:54:02 20 2.1 can tell by watching the video. 22 THE DEFENDANT: You can't. You can't. 23 THE COURT: Are there witnesses and things 24 that you would present in support of the claim that

it was wet and it was doused?

00:54:10 25

1 MR. OVERSON: Yes. We have several 2 witnesses that have told me through a third-party, I 3 haven't interviewed them yet, so --4 THE COURT: So that's where we are angling 00:54:24 5 on the preliminary hearing then? 6 MR. OVERSON: Yes. 7 THE COURT: I see. Go on. I'm sorry, I 8 interrupted you about the risk of flight. 9 MR. OVERSON: You are free to interrupt me 00:54:33 10 any time, Your Honor. 11 On the risk of flight, as I said I have 12 represented Mr. Newbins for an extended period of 13 time. I'm sitting here looking at the dockets from 14 the state court matters. You know, the Government 00:54:48 15 referred to this assault and that the Government 16 wasn't able to go forward and it got dismissed. I --17 I don't know what bearing this particular example would have. The case was dismissed, it was dismissed 18 19 without prejudice, so the government had -- the state 00:55:04 20 had an opportunity to put its case together and 2.1 proceed. It chose not to. Um, the case was closed 22 in July of 2017 and the docket indicates that the 23 victim was present and left. So I --24 THE COURT: What do you mean was present and 00:55:22 25 left. Unable to locate victim witness is what it

1 says. 2 MR. OVERSON: I'm looking at the docket from 3 7/3 maybe we're -- I'm looking at a case number 4 ending 1904. 00:55:36 5 THE COURT: Right. I'm looking at the 6 Pretrial Services Report and maybe you're looking at 7 the docket. 8 MR. OVERSON: I'm looking at the docket and 9 I'll quote, "State not ready to proceed, comma, 00:55:46 10 victim left, period. State's motion for dismissal of 11 case Court grants without prejudice." 12 THE COURT: So it could be this. It could 13 be that they're saying the same things. The Pretrial 14 Services Report says unable to locate victim or 00:56:00 15 witness. I think the premise here is witness 16 unavailability, right? 17 MR. OVERSON: Right. But I do think it speaks something to the weakness of that case to 18 19 begin with that the victim actually showed up, interacted with the prosecutor, and left. 00:56:14 20 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. I see what you're saying. 22 MR. OVERSON: Um, I look at the rest of his 23 criminal history and we're looking about -- we're 24 talking about, you know, fairly low level stuff. The 00:56:33 25 only other felony charge and I don't have that screen

1 up right now, I believe it was a possession of 2 marijuana, um, and then the rest of it is, you know, 3 a DUI, driving without an interlock, no insurance, 4 pretty low level justice court stuff. Um, we did have him on another case that was 00:57:02 5 6 a felony and it got dismissed outright for, you know, 7 evidentiary reasons. And the others were involved 8 and Mr. Newbins wasn't involved in the criminality 9 aspects of that. 00:57:17 10 So, um, I really don't think that he is a flight risk. And even -- even if there is some risk, 11 12 and there is always some risk, even if he is a level 13 one there is a risk of failure to appear. Pretrial 14 monitors people all of the time by ankle monitor. 00:57:38 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

00:57:56 20

00:58:13 25

And, you know, he is not a man of great means. He is not going to go buy a ticket to Mexico and hide out to avoid these charges. He has got reasons to be in this -- in this city. He has got children at home. His wife called me on a regular basis. I could hear them screaming in the background. She is about to pull her hair out trying to take care of young children. Um, and frankly, 30, he is old enough --THE COURT: I saw that.

MR. OVERSON: You know and he is the primary caregiver at least to one of them and I base that on

1 my own observations of him and his family. He is the 2 one that is able to control the one child that is kind of hyperactive, frankly. 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: The two year old. THE COURT: When you say primary caregiver, 00:58:28 5 one of the concerns I have about the Pretrial Service 6 7 Report is this unanswered void between claims of 8 disability from 2016. 9 MR. OVERSON: Right. 00:58:38 10 THE COURT: And an absence of any disability 11 finding and no -- not working. 12 MR. OVERSON: Right. 13 THE COURT: That concerns me. It also 14 concerns me about whether he should return to this 00:58:47 15 home in light of the allegations from 2017. I'm also a little concerned about mental health condition and 16 17 drug use, right. 18 MR. OVERSON: Yes. And you know what, all 19 of those are valid concerns, Your Honor, and I would like to address them. 00:59:03 20 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. OVERSON: So first of all the 23 disability. He is -- he just started the process. 24 He is not very far in the process for applying for 00:59:13 25 disability. And he had an appointment with Valley

Mental Health to address the mental health issues. 1 2 THE COURT: When was that? 3 MR. OVERSON: What's that? 4 THE COURT: When is that? MR. OVERSON: Um, I don't recall the date 00:59:25 5 6 but the arrest interfered with the appointment 7 itself. 8 THE COURT: It was set before June -- before 9 May 30th? MR. OVERSON: Yes. 00:59:35 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. OVERSON: And, Your Honor, the concern, 12 13 and I think that the mental health issue is -- stems from his physical health issues. I represent him on 14 00:59:46 15 a personal injury claim. He was in a pretty severe car accident and has pretty substantial injury to 16 17 most of his spinal column. And since that accident, he has been involved in multiple accidents and it has 18 19 aggravated the condition along the way. So I don't know. My experience with back 01:00:05 20 2.1 injuries, Your Honor, when they're chronic like this, 22 is they go hand-in-hand with mental health issues. 23 THE COURT: How does disability -- I quess 24 it is not obvious to me what the -- you mentioned it 01:02:57 25 is a spinal issue. I have only seem him from

appearing here in court and then also from the video, 1 2 and I don't mean to suggest that I'm any expert, but 3 what is the disability that prevents employment then? 4 MR. OVERSON: It is -- well it is twofold. The mental health aspects of it but I think that is 01:03:13 5 6 the lesser aspect of it. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Can I say something, Your 8 Honor? 9 THE COURT: Sure. Do you mind if we just 01:03:22 10 wait until I hear from Mr. Overson? Thanks, Mr. Newbins. 11 12 MR. OVERSON: So the spinal issue, Your 13 Honor. He has got multiple bulged disks. Um, 14 independent medical examiner has looked at him and 01:03:37 15 found that the injuries are there, um, and that's both cervical throughout his -- throughout his back. 16 17 THE COURT: The accident -- the accident -maybe there were many accidents, but what was -- when 18 19 was the last accident that gave rise or the serious 01:03:54 20 accident that gave rise to the physical disability. 2.1 MR. OVERSON: Latroi, help me on the date. 22 THE DEFENDANT: The last accident I can 23 remember was last year, September, had to be in 24 September like. September? No. I don't want to get 01:04:11 25 it wrong.

```
1
                       THE COURT: But it was last year you say.
      2
                      MR. OVERSON: The last accident.
      3
                      THE COURT: Now my concern is that you
            haven't been employed since 2016 so --
      4
                       THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
01:04:20
      5
      6
                       THE COURT: So when -- I don't mean to put
      7
            you on the spot, but just generally when do you
      8
            believe that you became sufficiently disabled that
      9
            you couldn't work?
01:04:29 10
                      THE DEFENDANT: After the second accident.
            I can't recall the date, but when I lost my job after
     11
     12
            the first initial accident on August 6th of 2016, my
     13
            job said I couldn't return unless I was able to fully
     14
            do my job. And due to the fact that my back was
01:04:47 15
            messed up, I couldn't lift more than 50 pounds, I
            couldn't stand no longer than an hour to two hours,
     16
     17
            and I couldn't sit no longer than 30 minutes. So
            because I couldn't -- because I couldn't do nothing,
     18
     19
            they did not want me to work no more. I couldn't
01:05:02 20
            even keep my job.
     2.1
                       THE COURT: And I don't, again, I don't mean
     22
            to ask any --
     23
                       THE DEFENDANT: That is all right.
     24
                       THE COURT: Why did you not pursue any
01:05:09 25
            disability claims then?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: At that time I was -- I was 2 going through the -- I was going through the claim 3 with Darwin trying to get the settlement together. 4 And I was -- I did try to -- I did try to get another job, I did actually, it was at Bimbo Bakeries. But 01:05:21 5 6 the job required me to stand on my feet for more than 7 14 hours. And I -- and you can look it up as well. 8 I worked there for almost like a month and then I had 9 -- I couldn't work no more after that because I 01:05:37 10 couldn't be on my feet no more. 11 THE COURT: Do you believe that there are 12 places where you could work right now? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Um, yes, call centers. My 14 dad has talked about me going back to school. 01:05:48 15 THE COURT: So again, I hope you don't mind 16 me asking the questions, but if you could work at 17 other places why haven't you? 18 THE DEFENDANT: To be honest, too, I have 19 been with the kids. I have three boys at home with my wife. I'm always there with them. I'm -- I'm a 01:06:01 20 2.1 father first and then due to the injuries and stuff I 22 didn't really think I should have work. And my wife 23 never really argued with me about it and I always, 24 like I said, I'm always home. I'm always with my

01:06:20 25

kids.

1 THE COURT: Is your wife working or was your 2 wife working? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, she is on disability as 4 well. She receives disability as well. So she doesn't work neither and --01:06:28 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. THE DEFENDANT: -- I'm home with them all of 7 8 the time. 9 THE COURT: Got it. Thanks for clarifying 01:06:34 10 that. Sorry, Mr. Overson, I interrupted you again. 11 Go ahead. 12 MR. OVERSON: No, you're fine, Your Honor. 13 Um, and I just want to point out that the accident 14 that caused the vast majority, or the original 01:06:48 15 injury, if you would, what stems from August of 2016, so it's a fairly longstanding situation. And, you 16 17 know, his condition varies. I think like with any back injury, you know, you are out there doing -- you 18 19 think you're doing well, you're working in the garden and then you're unable to really do too much for the 01:07:06 20 2.1 next two weeks. 22 THE COURT: Some of the concerns here, 23 Mr. Overson, are, you know, I have seen a few of 24 these reports in my time, it looks like he is 01:07:17 25 self-medicating here too with marijuana. He hasn't

obtained a medical marijuana use card. I'm a little concerned, for instance, the false information, the flight from law enforcement, the continued to drive on suspended license. As you say not overly consequential by themselves, but it gives rise to an inference that he is unwilling to keep the rules. He struggles to comply especially from history from longer ago, how can I be convinced that he would comply with conditions now?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

MR. OVERSON: Well, I think if you put in place through pretrial random UAs, Mr. Newbins would comply with that. He has not had access to medical insurance during the vast majority of the last four years and -- and he has -- I mean he will tell you honestly that, you know, he has used marijuana to medicate. A lot of people do. Unfortunately that is the situation. I think he would qualify for a medical card, but he doesn't have that. And so you're right, um, you know, he has been engaging in illegal activity in that sense. But we're not talking about holding him in detention because he has smoked marijuana. We're -- the Government is asking you to hold him in detention because he committed arson and there is good reason to believe that

02:09:30 20

01:07:47 10

02:09:12 15

01:07:32

1 Mr. Newbins was trying to prevent an arson, prevent 2 damage to property. So I --3 THE COURT: I think that that is the 4 evidence that you are going to be collecting for the preliminary hearing that it wasn't a contribution to 02:10:01 5 6 the fire but rather it was an attempt, whether no 7 faith, you know, whether successful or not it was an 8 attempt to put it out not add to it, I think. 9 the million dollar question in your mind. 02:10:16 10 MR. OVERSON: Yes. And in terms of, you 11 know, his compliance, um, you know, I think if he is 12 on pretrial he is going to -- he is going to follow 13 the rules. My other --14 THE COURT: He does have that failure to 02:10:30 15 appear. I mean he has a conviction, it is a citation. I think what is that, a Class C maybe. 16 17 MR. OVERSON: \$50. It is a \$50 fine out of the Midvale Justice Court. 18 19 THE COURT: No, I understand that the seriousness of it doesn't, you know, doesn't warrant 02:10:41 20 2.1 any drastic action, but when you see things like 22 driving on suspended license, failure to obey, 23 failure to appear, those all contribute to a belief 24 that he struggles to comply with conditions. 02:10:56 25 MR. OVERSON: Right.

THE COURT: And then when he -- when he 1 2 flees on foot and then gives false information when 3 officers approach him, do you think those are fair 4 considerations on whether he would keep conditions 02:11:08 5 now? MR. OVERSON: I think they are. Um, but 6 7 most of those experiences are quite dated. Um, as I 8 have said, my experience with him is that he is 9 prompt, um, he is on top of things, he answers the 02:11:19 10 calls, he responds to messages, and he shows up in court when I tell him he has got court. 11 In fact, in fact, he usually is the one 12 13 calling me confirming whether or not we have a court 14 date. So that's my recent experience with him. And 02:11:33 15 as I said, I have been representing him now for, you know, somewhere around three years. 16 17 THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Overson. 18 Mr. Newbins, you have been really patient as 19 we have -- as I have talked to the attorneys. I just want to remind you, you don't have to say anything 02:11:46 20 2.1 today. If you would like to, I am happy to hear from 22 you, I'm here to listen. So go ahead. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I definitely 24 want to speak for myself as well, too. Darwin has

always been there on my side every time I have been

02:12:11 25

1 in some legal issues. I have always complied. 2 have never went back on my word. One thing that I 3 live by that I always followed from a long time 4 teaching from my father, you're a man of your word. You can't keep your word, then what do we have. 02:12:26 5 6 And over the past history, yes, I have I had 7 some violence. I had some things that weren't right. 8 But as -- I am 28 years old. I got four -- I got 9 four siblings that look up to me, all boys, three 02:12:43 10 that stay home with me. I am with them all the time. 11 They know who I am, they see me, and I am a family 12 orientated. Yes, I have done some wrong, but I'm 13 making up for everything that I have done. And as I 14 am getting older, I'm not trying to do nothing else 02:13:02 15 but do the right thing. Yes, like I said, I've done some bad things in my past. I can't go back and 16 17 change nothing I done. But right now, what I'm doing, I'm doing what I'm supposed to be doing. I've 18 19 been staying out of trouble. Yes, I had violations driving because I still need -- I need to still get 02:13:19 20 2.1 around and take care of my family. So yes, I have 22 violated that. Yes, I confess to that. But I have 23 -- I have good cause. If anybody was in my situation 24 what would they have done? I still got to get 02:13:36 25 around. I try to make ways to make room to do things

1 that I'm supposed to do. And yes, I still -- I still 2 slip and fall every day. But I'm always trying to 3 stay up on my seat. I'm not trying to sit here and 4 do stuff that ain't supposed to be right, I don't want to sit here. Um, sorry, I'm -- I'm so nervous 02:13:50 5 6 because this is my first time ever facing a federal 7 charge in my life. And it's -- it's bothering me. 8 I'm sorry. 9 THE COURT: Take your time, it's fine. 02:14:07 10 There is no rush, I want to hear from you. 11 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm not trying to 12

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm not trying to cause no damage. I'm not trying to be a menace to society. I done that when I was younger. I'm a model citizen. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay.

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

02:14:35 15

02:15:07 20

02:15:25 25

THE DEFENDANT: All -- all I'm trying to do is raise my kids the right way. I got four that look up to me. I'm their hero. The last thing I want them to see me do is anything wrong.

I got a wife that respects everything I do.

She has always been in my corner. She has always

told me if you don't listen to me something bad is

going to happen. She even told me not to go. But I

woke up that morning and I felt like I had to go. It

was for a cause, it was -- and it was for justice. I

1 wasn't going down there to cause no damage. And I --2 and if I had a bullhorn that Saturday, I would have 3 been protesting them to not do anything. But it was 4 circumstances that happened down there. I wish -- I wish they was showing videos of me when I was trying 02:15:43 5 6 to put the fire out versus when I'm running up to the 7 car trying to throw something into it. And that's 8 not my character. 9 THE COURT: How soon after the fire was lit 02:15:57 10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

02:16:05 15

02:16:19 20

02:16:33 25

did you show up with water bottles?

THE DEFENDANT: The first one or the second fire?

THE COURT: I guess the first one. So the first with one went out?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. We had -- I had protestors on the side behind the barricades throwing water bottles to us so we could put it out. I even yelled at the people that threw fireworks or whatever else they was throwing in the car to not do that when they were tearing it and vandalizing that. But they don't got none of that on video at all. They just got that one clip on the news when she finally showed up, she didn't see nothing that we did until that fire was getting started. And that's -- that's all we're going based on right now is what I did when I

02:17:13 10

02:17:30 15

02:17:45 20

02:17:59 25

02:16:48

ran up to the car was I making it worse or was I trying to prevent it. I tried to prevent it the first time. Then I seen them doing it again and I ran to my own car and grabbed that out and had a little bit of water and wet it all on that paper to try to smother it out. But by the time I got to the car, those flames are already too big and I already knew my attempt to try to put it out the second time was not going to work.

watched it. I even had my two year old son with me, and my best friend with me, and she asked me did you put it out? I said no, it's hell-a-burning and we left. That's when I watched everybody started leaving from the library and they started marching up to the capitol. So I didn't even stay down there after the fire in the police car got started and I left and I followed everybody. I was wondering where everybody was going and they was marching to the capitol. So that is what I'm saying, I wasn't down there trying to vandalize anything. That was not the purpose of me going down there that day.

And the part that I can't stress about, I woke up that morning, like I said, and something called me to go down there. And I -- I'm a true

2.1

02:18:27 10

02:18:39 15

02:19:00 20

02:19:21 25

02:18:14

believer in God and I felt like I was supposed to be down there. So I went, against my wife's judgment, against my family's judgment, I said no, I said I'm going to go down there and protest as well. And that's what I did. But I wasn't down there trying to be incriminating myself or anything.

For God's sake, if I was going to do any of that I would have worn a mask like the rest of them.

I would have had my face covered up too if I wanted to vandalize and destroy property. I was down there bold face. My face was all over the scene. A lot of people were down there with me.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. OVERSON: Your Honor, I just would like to add a piece here, just for clarification, and then it may be causing some confusion for Your Honor. Is the fire was started, the second fire, was started by the use of some kind of an accelerant. There is video that shows the other individual that has been charged, or at least I assume that is who it is.

Some individual approached the window of the cop car and it looks like to me, I am interpreting it as a gas can, and he pours what I assume is gasoline or some type of an accelerant inside of the vehicle.

And that's -- so that's the type of fire that

1 Mr. Newbins is trying to put out. And as you can 2 imagine, um, that fire probably got hot pretty quick. 3 It was approachable enough for him to get close to 4 try, but, um, he just couldn't -- he just couldn't hold the wire. 02:19:40 5 6 THE COURT: Thanks to you both. 7 appreciate your sharing your insight. Mr. Yeates, 8 let me just go back to you. Would you like to reply

MR. YEATES: Yes, Your Honor. And at the risk of trying this before Your Honor during a detention hearing, there are several points that need to be discussed.

First of all, Your Honor has seen the photographs of Mr. Newbins and other rioters standing on top of the police car. Photographs were taken of them standing on top of the police car. That certainly isn't indicative of a protest rather than riot. That is a clear indicator of riot as opposed to lawful First Amendment protests. And so the Court has to consider that fact that indeed he and others with him were standing on top of the, as I recall, overturned police car.

Also, Your Honor, as we look at the video and the screen grabs, it's apparent that the paper

02:20:23 20

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

02:19:50 10

02:20:02 15

to any of that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

02:21:14 10

02:21:32 15

02:21:46 20

02:22:05 25

02:20:57

that he is using is not wet. And if the Court is interested in seeing some of those screen grabs, we can show one. But also, Your Honor, it is important to note that there was water readily available that can be seen in the video. There is a large what appears to be five-gallon jug that was right within Mr. Newbins's reach and he didn't use it. Rather, he ran to a place where he could get something that would burn. And I would mention that Mr. Patton, who is also charged by way of complaint, it appears that he and Mr. Newbins have a brief conversation. the two of them from the fire being started to Mr. Newbins running and grabbing the poster board and then running back, is all of about 15 seconds. would be amazing if he were able to get a poster board, roll it up, pour water on top of it, and then take it to the vehicle. There just simply wasn't enough time based on the evidence.

But more so than that, Your Honor, as the vehicle was burning, Mr. Newbins took a selfie of himself kneeling in front of the car as it was ablaze. That, Your Honor, is not consistent with someone who attempted to put out the fire. That's consistent with someone who is enjoying watching a Salt Lake City patrol car burn. And I can only

assume that defense counsel has that, Mr. Overson has 1 2 that available. He has the Defendant's phone and if 3 he has it available, I would love for him to show 4 that selfie so that the Court can see it. But we do have video from at least one if not two angles that 02:22:23 5 6 clearly show Mr. Newbins taking that selfie with the 7 burning car in the background. 8 Now if the Court is interested in seeing 9 either the water bottle or the paper that looks to be 02:22:39 10 completely dry, Mr. Reeves is prepared to show that 11 to Your Honor.

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

02:22:48 15

02:23:02 20

02:23:13 25

THE COURT: Mr. Overson, I would like to see that. Would you, too?

MR. OVERSON: Let's see it. And I just for the record, as I mentioned before about this phone, I have not gone through -- all I did was just peruse some of the text messages. I have not done anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a look at this. While that's happening, to Mr. Newbins, Mr. Yeates is right it is not my job to determine guilt or innocence. But one of the challenges with detention is the weight of the evidence, what weight do I give the weight of the evidence. That is why we're spending all this time on this.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

1 THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not tasked with 2 determining guilt. But in my mind, much of the 3 arguments turn on the weight of the evidence here 4 today whether you should be detained. So that's why I want to see this. 02:23:24 5 6 (Video clip played.) 7 THE DEFENDANT: The car wasn't on fire. 8 THE COURT: I do see, as you have said, 9 Mr. Newbins, I can't tell if the fire is still on 02:25:55 10 when you take the picture but --11 THE DEFENDANT: No. There was no fire at 12 that moment. THE COURT: I do see -- I do see you and 13 14 another individual posing for a selfie with the car. 02:26:03 15 THE DEFENDANT: I know who that person is as well. 16 17 MR. OVERSON: No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Yeates, I think 19 that is what you were referring to. Um, I couldn't see anything from what he threw into the car. It was 02:26:09 20 2.1 sort of hard for me to identify anything of substance 22 from that. Is there anything else you can offer on 23 that? 24 MR. YEATES: Yes, Your Honor. I would ask 02:26:22 25 Mr. Reeves to show you a still frame from that video.

```
1
            It is a close up and it pretty clearly shows the
      2
            rolled up poster board.
      3
                      THE COURT: Okay.
                      MR. YEATES: Your Honor, while Mr. Reeves
      4
02:26:47 5
            works on pulling that up, I have mentioned that in
      6
            this video it shows the water that -- that he said he
      7
            previously drank from and was available to him to
      8
            pour on and he doesn't take advantage of that water
      9
            rather he takes advantage of throwing on paper.
02:27:06 10
                      THE COURT: Okay. There is the still.
     11
            Mr. Overson, do you see that?
     12
                      MR. OVERSON: I do.
     13
                       THE COURT: And Mr. Newbins, do you see
     14
            that?
02:27:14 15
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It is blurry.
     16
                       THE COURT: Can you zoom out a little bit,
     17
            Mr. Reeves?
     18
                      Okay. So Mr. Overson and Mr. Newbins, I
     19
            believe that the Government's argument is that if
            that were wet would it remain so, for a lack of
02:27:25 20
     2.1
            better term there, stiff?
     22
                       THE DEFENDANT: When I poured the water, I
     23
            poured it all throughout it on top. So you don't --
     24
            you're seeing the end of it, um, not the very top.
02:27:39 25
                      THE COURT: I see.
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: And when I got all the way 2 right there where you see me standing, that is when I 3 realized the fire was too big and that was not going 4 to put it out. And that is when I ran because I 02:27:49 5 already knew this situation was already out of hand 6 and that is when I ran back to my car. 7 THE COURT: But after throwing it in the 8 fire all at the same, right? 9 THE DEFENDANT: All at the same time, yes. 02:27:59 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Newbins. 11 Mr. Yeates, anything else? MR. YEATES: No, Your Honor. We would 12 13 submit it. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Overson, anything else you 02:28:08 15 want to add? 16 MR. OVERSON: No, Your Honor. We would 17 submit it. 18 THE COURT: I rarely come across a 19 circumstance as challenging as this one, balancing competing interests. And one of the things I have 02:28:18 20 2.1 struggled with, I guess, is the information that has 22 been provided to here that contrary to at least what 23 Mr. Newbins's alleges, contrary to what was alleged 24 by the Government, it was an effort to put out 02:28:35 25 instead of add to. That's challenging because it is

1 not my task to determine quilt or innocence. 2 also challenging because there are competing 3 interests at play. I think it's clear to me a couple 4 of things and I hope you will forgive me while I take a moment to go through this. I want to talk again 02:28:56 5 6 about that contrast between May 30th and June 1st. 7 There isn't a dispute here that I think 8 there is a contrast in Mr. Newbins's conduct. 9 is a dispute about what his conduct is on May 30th,

02:29:13 10

02:29:30 15

02:29:45 20

02:38:24 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

but there isn't a dispute about the contrast. the conduct on May 30th was sinister. The complaint references that he used a megaphone appearing to lead demonstrators. Paragraph 17 of the complaint says that the Defendant negotiated a peaceful exit for the group without mass arrests. That's different, of course, from what the Government alleges took place on May 30th. And I respect what Mr. Yeates has said that it's not the Court's job to determine whether someone should be charged on balance. I am not suggesting that. But I do think that it goes to whether Mr. Newbins can engage in conduct that isn't The June 1st conduct was the antithesis of what the Government alleges took place on Saturday May 30th. And I think that is worthy of some weight. What stands out to me, what's undisputed, he is not

2.1

02:38:54 10

02:39:07 15

02:39:23 20

02:39:41 25

02:38:42

an instigator of the flame. The Government alleges he used existing materials. And I assume existing materials to contribute, it wasn't planned, for instance, with flammable liquids. It appears to me that the inference to draw is he took whatever was available to him, again if I consider the Government's view, and added fuel to the fire. He didn't start it.

Now June 1st was nothing like May 30th. I think not for Salt Lake and frankly not for Mr. Newbins. I think it is fair to say as we've talked about, he de-escalated and he was key in doing that for many others.

This isn't just a passing judgment on whether he should be charged. I'm not suggesting that at all. It does suggest to me, however, a willingness to work with and through lawful authority without violence, or at least we have this contrast that we need to grapple with in this regard. On balance, those -- that contrast, I think, is really key to whether he should be detained. I'm going to go back to this in just a minute.

There are some other concerns that the Court has. We talked a little bit about employment. He hasn't worked since 2016. He alleges disability from

2.1

02:40:14 10

02:40:27 15

02:40:43 20

02:40:56 25

02:39:59

car accidents. But as far as I can tell, has only recently pursued disability and instead according to the report at least relies on others for support.

It does appear to me that he could work, maybe not at jobs that he has historically had, but even he suggested that he could work. So I am concerned about his absence of work but I also appreciate the role that he wants to play as a father. Many individuals have to play those dual roles and I wonder whether Mr. Newbins needs to do that as well recognizing his circumstance may be different from others.

I'm concerned about his mental and physical health, the depression for anxiety and the diagnosis for depression and anxiety in 2009 for which medication was prescribed but he declines to take. At least the report says he declines to take it. He doesn't suggest that there was an impediment to taking it, which is a little bit in contrast to what we have heard today that the absence of insurance precludes it.

I am very concerned about his ongoing drug use because that seems to be self-medicating to deal with issues both physical and mental issues. And should I draw any inferences from that? Maybe, I

1 don't know how much. It appears to be marijuana. 2 And even if he qualifies for the medicinal marijuana 3 certificates or cards, I'm struck that he hasn't 4 taken advantage of the opportunity to do that in earnest. At least he finds himself in positions 02:41:15 5 6 where he uses without the card. I don't think that 7 is worthy of too much weight to attach to that, but 8 it is worthy of something. 9 Residence. I get a little bit more 02:41:27 10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

02:41:44 15

02:41:59 20

02:42:15 25

concerned about this. Only because, Mr. Overson, I'm alarmed by that allegation from 2017, very alarmed by But you noted two critical things. conviction resulted, it was a dismissal without prejudice so the Government could bring it back. common law wife is willing to have him return, but they have been going through their own struggles. And I'm not sure it is a great idea for him to return there. I struggle with his desire to be a dad to the kids, but at the same time the allegations about what he did to his common law wife are very concerning. Strangling her twice, blocking the door so she can't I don't give that the same weight I do to any conviction, but it concerns me all the same. I note, for instance, that he has a sister that resides in the district. It may be better that he lives

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

02:42:46 10

02:43:03 15

02:43:17 20

02:43:35 25

02:42:28

somewhere else until we get him assessed at Valley

Mental and do some other things going forward to make

sure that he is not a danger. Can we mitigate that

danger.

The failures to appear or meet obligations as ordered by the Court are very concerning. Many of these failures are from the distant past. Failure to appear in 2011, later recalled; failure to appear on a drug charge, 2011. Reduced from a felony to misdemeanor. Failures to appear and comply with the DUI offense, 2011. Failure to appear on a driving offense, suspended license from 2012 with a guilty plea to a misdemeanor failure to appear as well. Multiple failures to appear stemming from a false information interference charge in 2012, accompanied by a probation violation with credit for time served in lieu of community service. A failure to appear in 2018 relating to a DUI charge that is later dismissed and therefore entitled to less weight. A failure to appear relating to a drug distribution charge involving marijuana likewise later dismissed, likewise entitled to lesser weight. He has a pending case for driving on a suspended license right now with ignition interlock, drug paraphernalia in Orem south of Salt Lake City from August of last year. No failures to appear in that case.

All of these things together, the lack of work, the failures to appear, all of this gives him a relatively pretrial risk assessment score, as

Mr. Yeates noted, of four. This is a high score for someone with his history. It shouldn't be that high and it could have been much lower if he took greater consciousness and care in meeting his obligations.

Deing a man of his word, but the record also shows that he has not always been responsive to Court processes. I think that the risk of flight is manageable. I recognize his ties elsewhere in the community and I'm -- or elsewhere in the country, but I think in light of his children being here, I don't think that it is an unmanageable risk of flight. I think he could, with the right conditions, monitoring, check-ins, and other things, I think that is manageable.

The real issue in my mind is, is he a danger to the community. The Court emphasizes the lesser role that I think he played on May 30th. But if the Government's theory is correct, it is dangerous all the same. There is other reasons for danger. The DUI offenses, which I know are misdemeanors, but that

02:44:41 20

2.1

02:44:05 10

02:44:27 15

02:43:48

1 likewise poses some risk to the community. 2 concerned about the incident from 2012 when he fled 3 on foot, gave a false name to officers. In my mind, 4 Mr. Overson, I think he is manageable but this turns in the end on the weight of the evidence from May 02:45:24 5 6 30th. 7 I frankly am not sure what the truth 8 actually is regarding whether he intended to add to 9 or extinguish that flame. I have only done my best 02:45:43 10 to try to draw inferences here today. 11 preliminary hearing will help it flesh out a little 12 bit better on whether there is probable cause that he 13 be held for further proceedings. I have struggled 14 with what to do here. Should I detain him pending 02:45:57 15 that weight of the evidence determination, or should I release him because I am not sure what the weight 16 17 of the evidence is. At the end of the day, I think he is 18 19

At the end of the day, I think he is manageable, but I am not sure I am comfortable with him returning to his home where the children are only because of the 2017 incident.

02:46:12 20

02:46:26 25

2.1

22

23

24

Mr. Overson, do you believe there is another place that he could stay?

MR. OVERSON: Absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Where else could he stay?

1 MR. OVERSON: I believe that his sister 2 would accept him to live with her. I don't think 3 that that would be a problem. 4 THE COURT: Here is what I would like to 02:46:35 5 There are two things I need to consider. 6 Number one, is there an address that would be 7 appropriate where he could live at. I don't mean to 8 suggest that he can't communicate with his common law 9 wife or with his children, but I'm very concerned 02:46:49 10 about what I read from 2017. I don't believe they 11 should be living in the same house. 12 Number two, if during the meanwhile the 13 parties want to present additional evidence or information regarding the claims of the defendant, 14 02:47:02 15 I'm happy to hear it or we can reserve judgment on that and wait until we see the preliminary hearing. 16 17 It is going to take us some time to examine the 18 appropriate residence. Would you propose his sister 19 above all others, Mr. Overson? 02:47:15 20 MR. OVERSON: Um, let me ask Mr. Newbins. 2.1 THE COURT: Mr. Newbins? 22 MR. OVERSON: Is there -- would your sister 23 be the best place for you to reside while we're 24 waiting to get a resolution on this case? 02:47:27 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. My sister would not

1 contest that. And if I can't stay with her, I have a 2 sister-in-law that's not too far down the street from 3 her, too. It is my wife's sister. I don't --4 MR. OVERSON: Are you able to provide the 02:47:40 5 Court with addresses right now? 6 THE DEFENDANT: 29 --7 THE COURT: You don't need to provide that 8 right here. Mr. Overson, can you collect that 9 privately and then share that with Ms. Wollitz who is 02:47:50 10 on the call with us. I'm going to ask Ms. Wollitz to 11 do a quick review, as quickly as she can do, and if 12 it is -- if it's an acceptable residence, I intend to 13 release him with conditions by the 11th. 14 Now, if it's not, we will reach out to you 02:48:10 15 and try to get another hearing if we can before the 17th to address that. Mr. Newbins, this has been a 16 17 very close call in my opinion. I'm going to list some is conditions that I think you need -- I am 18 19 going to order that you must comply with. 02:48:25 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: If you fail to comply with the 22 conditions, then you may run-- well, I won't just say 23 you may, you run a very serious risk of a warrant and 24 a return to custody. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

02:48:37 25

1 THE COURT: So here is the conditions. 2 Assuming we can find an appropriate residence, you 3 must maintain or actively seek verifiable employment 4 and/or maintain or commence an educational program as approved by the pretrial officer. I recognize you 02:48:50 5 6 may be pursuing disability, that's fine, you're free to do so. But unless and until that is established, 7 8 I expect more. I expect you looking for a job or to 9 get back in school. 02:49:04 10 You will maintain a residence and not change 11 that residence without prior permission from the 12 pretrial officer. This is going to be the suitable 13 residence that we find so I don't have that right now 14 but hopefully we'll find one. 02:49:18 15 I want you to report on a regular basis to the pretrial officers as directed. You will not 16 17 possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device or other dangerous weapon. You will not use or 18

the pretrial officers as directed. You will not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device or other dangerous weapon. You will not use or unlawfully possess a narcotic drug or other controlled substance as defined by federal law unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner.

Prescriptions have to be reported to the pretrial officer.

19

2.1

22

23

24

02:49:34 20

02:49:45 25

Now, I know that states have conditions on marijuana use, but the federal law doesn't allow for

1 that.

2.1

02:50:09 10

02:50:23 15

02:50:37 20

02:50:53 25

02:49:52

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: So you are going to have to make some serious changes here. You're going to have to submit yourself to drug testing as directed by the officer. If testing reveals illegal drug use, I want to be notified immediately. If you miss a drug test, I want to be notified immediately. I want you to participate in drug treatment as deemed advisable by the pretrial officer.

I am going to order you to participate in inpatient or outpatient substance abuse therapy and counseling if deemed advisable by the pretrial officer with you paying all or part of the cost of the program based upon your ability to pay. That also relates to the drug testing. You have to pay all or part as based on your ability to pay. You will undergo a mental health evaluation and complete any recommended treatment as directed by the pretrial officer and take any mental health medications as prescribed. Again, you must pay all or part of the cost of the program based upon your ability to pay.

I'm going to place you on something called home detention for now, Mr. Newbins, and this is because I frankly don't know what the evidence shows

as yet on June 1st. I'm sorry, on May 30th. You are going to be restricted to that residence except for employment, education, religious services, medical, substance abuse, mental health treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, court ordered obligations and other activities pre-approved by the pretrial officer.

The goal here is not to prevent your ability to spend time with your kids. To the contrary. But the goal is to avoid putting you in a position where you could find yourself in trouble down the road. Home detention could be modified down the road if necessary, but for now that's what I'm going to order.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm going to order that you submit your person, your residence, your office, or vehicle to a search conducted by a pretrial officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of condition of release. Failure to submit to the search may be grounds for revocation. And you need to warn the residents, wherever you reside, that the home can be subject to search under certain conditions.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Newbins, this seems like a 3 lot and it is. 4 THE DEFENDANT: It is. 02:51:59 5 THE COURT: And it is because I'm very 6 concerned about what happened on May 30th. This is 7 my best effort to try to balance the Government's 8 interests regarding your conduct versus your interest 9 in liberty. If you violate these terms, you can 02:52:15 10 expect that negative factors -- or negative circumstances will arise. A warrant for your arrest, 11 12 being turned over to custody, okay? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Yeates, I know the 02:52:25 15 Government opposes release, but is there anything that you would like me to add or consider on 16 17 conditions? MR. YEATES: No other additional conditions 18 19 that I can think of at this time, Your Honor. 02:52:37 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.1 Mr. Overson, any other thoughts from you? 22 MR. OVERSON: I just want some 23 clarification, Your Honor. So if he is at his 24 sister's, are you asking that he be evaluated as part 02:52:54 25 of the mental health evaluation to determine whether

1 he is a danger to his spouse? I'm just asking for 2 clarification, it's not an argument. 3 THE COURT: It's not directly related to 4 that danger although I have concern about his mental health condition as it poses a risk. So the mental 02:53:13 5 6 health eval will help us understand whether he poses 7 a danger and what medications can be taken, if any, 8 to mitigate that or whether it is counseling or other 9 things. Does that make sense? 02:53:27 10 MR. OVERSON: Right. I just wanted to get a sense of whether I needed to focus the evaluation on 11 12 that as well and submit that to the Court. 13 THE COURT: Well, and we're going to 14 organize that too, Mr. Overson, so our pretrial 02:53:40 15 officers will help arrange this, okay? 16 MR. OVERSON: Okay. Well, yes. Yeah I 17 quess that is true. I apologize. THE COURT: So it's not -- it's not at your 18 19 direction, it's at ours. MR. OVERSON: Right. Right. Um, okay, that 02:53:50 20 2.1 clarifies it for me. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay any other clarifications or 23 suggestions? 24 MR. OVERSON: No, Your Honor. 02:53:58 25 THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Newbins, are you

```
1
            willing to keep all of these conditions?
      2
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
      3
                      THE COURT: We will eventually get you this
      4
            form, but I'm going to sign, you're going to sign it
            as well indicating that you're willing to keep them
02:54:07
      5
      6
            all and appear for all hearings. Okay?
      7
                      THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
      8
                       THE COURT: This has been a very close call
      9
            in my decision. It could be that the Government may
02:54:15 10
            want to appeal it, I don't know. But for now, unless
     11
            and until that happens, you need to do very -- you
     12
            need to do your very best to keep all of them and do
     13
            them well. You're going to be in custody until the
     14
            11th. I need a place for you to go that I think is
02:54:31 15
            appropriate first. If there is a problem there,
            we'll try to get an emergency hearing even if we have
     16
     17
            to do it by phone and discuss the next step. Okay?
     18
                      THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Thank you.
     19
                      THE COURT: Mr. Overson, anything else from
            the Defendant?
02:54:40 20
     2.1
                      MR. OVERSON: No, Your Honor.
     22
                      THE COURT: Mr. Yeates?
     23
                      THE DEFENDANT: Is it all right if I give
     24
            you the address?
02:54:45 25
                      MR. YEATES: No, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                       THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do.
      2
            Teri, would you send Ms. Wollitz, Mr. Newbins, and
      3
            Mr. Overson to a separate brake-out room so that they
      4
            can collect those addresses and phone numbers?
      5
            Meanwhile, thanks everyone. For the rest of you, the
02:54:59
      6
            hearing is concluded.
      7
                       MR. OVERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
      8
                       THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
      9
                       MR. REEVES: Thank you, Your Honor.
02:55:08 10
                       THE COURT: My pleasure. Thank you.
     11
                       THE CLERK: Teri we have people in the
     12
            waiting room for the 2:30 probably, right?
     13
                       (Whereupon, the hearing concluded.)
     14
     15
     16
     17
     18
     19
     20
     21
     22
     23
     24
     25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken from a Zoom video recording stenographically to the best of my ability to hear and understand said video recording, that my said stenographic notes were thereafter transcribed into typewriting at my direction. Laura W. Robinson